

its Pearson's Correlation Coefficient with the performance ration.

The results and analysis in the previous section, shows that most students in the initial levels (1, 2 and 3), have more diverse interactions, i.e., they interact with different gamification elements, while more advanced students (level 4), focus on those interactions that significantly affect their performance. One situation shown by the data is that students in levels 1, 2 and 3 tend to interact with a gamification element named Mission. In MeuTutor, completing a mission is a time consuming task that diverts students' attention from solving problems (a task that is considerably related to the students performance).

These findings generated some recommendations to improve the learning environment, and provide guidance to students, focusing on improving their performance and interactions (more meaningful/productive interactions). These recommendations were presented to MeuTutor team. Unfortunately, we could not monitor whether these recommendations generated actual changes in MeuTutor, and we could not evaluate whether these changes improved students performance.

7. ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We would like to thank MeuTutor team for providing us access to the necessary data to plan, implement and test our ideas, and we would also like to thank W3C Brasil/CEWEB/ Nic.Br. Finally, we acknowledge the financial support provided by CAPES/ CNPQ.

8. REFERENCES

- [1] F. P. Alves, E. C. Santana, C. Maciel, and J. Anacleto. A rede social móvel foursquare: uma análise dos elementos de gamificação sob a ótica dos usuários. In *Workshop Proc. WAIHCWS*, 2012.
- [2] I. I. Bittencourt, E. Costa, M. Silva, and E. Soares. A computational model for developing semantic web-based educational systems. *Knowledge-Based Systems*, 22(4):302–315, 2009.
- [3] L. F. Da Cunha, I. Gasparini, and C. D. M. Berkenbrock. Investigando o uso de gamificação para aumentar o engajamento em sistemas colaborativos. In *WAIHCWS*, pages 28–33, 2013.
- [4] A. M. da Rocha Fernandes and F. S. Castro. Ambiente de ensino de química orgânica baseado em gamificação. In *Anais do Simpósio Brasileiro de Informática na Educação*, volume 24, 2013.
- [5] P. Dalgaard. *Introductory statistics with R*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2008.
- [6] S. de Sousa Borges, V. H. Durelli, H. M. Reis, and S. Isotani. A systematic mapping on gamification applied to education. In *Proceedings of the 29th Annual ACM Symposium on Applied Computing*, pages 216–222. ACM, 2014.
- [7] S. Deterding, D. Dixon, R. Khaled, and L. Nacke. From game design elements to gamefulness: defining gamification. In *Proceedings of the 15th international academic MindTrek conference: Envisioning future media environments*, pages 9–15. ACM, 2011.
- [8] D. R. Flatla, C. Gutwin, L. E. Nacke, S. Bateman, and R. L. Mandryk. Calibration games: making calibration tasks enjoyable by adding motivating game elements. In *Proceedings of the 24th annual ACM symposium on User interface software and technology*, pages 403–412. ACM, 2011.
- [9] G. B. Forgiarini de Quadros. Análise sobre o ensino de línguas em ambientes virtuais gamificados. In *Anais do Encontro Virtual de Documentação em Software Livre e Congresso Internacional de Linguagem e Tecnologia Online*, volume 3, 2014.
- [10] M. Hepp, P. De Leenheer, A. de de Moor, and Y. Sure. *Ontology management: semantic web, semantic web services, and business applications*, volume 7. Springer Science & Business Media, 2007.
- [11] O. Holanda, S. Isotani, I. I. Bittencourt, E. Elias, and T. Tenório. Joint: Java ontology integrated toolkit. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 40(16):6469–6477, 2013.
- [12] S. Isotani and I. I. Bittencourt. *Dados Abertos Conectados*. Novatec Editora, 2015.
- [13] K. M. Kapp. *The gamification of learning and instruction: game-based methods and strategies for training and education*. John Wiley & Sons, 2012.
- [14] R. O. A. Paiva, I. I. Bittencourt, A. P. da Silva, S. Isotani, and P. Jaques. Improving pedagogical recommendations by classifying students according to their interactional behavior in a gamified learning environment. 2015.
- [15] J. Pavlus. The game of life. *Scientific American*, 303(6):43–44, 2010.
- [16] K. Werbach and D. Hunter. *For the win: How game thinking can revolutionize your business*. Wharton Digital Press, 2012.
- [17] G. Zichermann and C. Cunningham. *Gamification by design: Implementing game mechanics in web and mobile apps*. ” O’Reilly Media, Inc.”, 2011.
- [18] A. Ziesemer, L. Müller, and M. Silveira. Gamification aware: users perception about game elements on non-game context. In *Proceedings of the 12th Brazilian Symposium on Human Factors in Computing Systems*, pages 276–279. Brazilian Computer Society, 2013.