ABSTRACT
Instead of studying the properties of social relationship from an objective view, in this paper, we focus on the two individuals’ subjective and asymmetric opinions on their interrelationships. The sociolinguistics theories propose to characterize the individuals’ opinions of their interrelationship with interactive language features. With this inspiration, we investigate the subjective asymmetry of the interrelationship with the asymmetry of the interactive language features including the frequency, quantity, quality and emotion. Experimental results with Enron email corpus provide suggestive evidences and thus reveal that the pair-wise language styles on an interrelationship are asymmetric, and this asymmetry can be a joint effect of the individuals’ opinions of the interrelationship and their personal language habits. The results also indicate that the degree of the asymmetry could be related to the individuals’ personality traits.
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1. INTRODUCTION
The problem we are attempting to investigate is: given a pair of individuals who are engaged in discourse, are their subjective opinions of their interrelationship symmetric or not, and can we investigate this problem with their interactive language?

Despite that social relationship has been studied a lot from an objective view, in the real experience, the properties of interrelationships are not always independent of the individuals’ subjective opinions. In some social computing studies, compared with the relationship’s objective properties, the individuals’ subjective opinions are even more important, especially when their opinions are asymmetric. For example, in the influence analysis, the information propagation possibility can be asymmetric between two individuals: the one who believes their relationship is strong tends to pass/receive more information, and the other one who believes the relationship is weak does opposite.

Hence, whether two individuals’ subjective opinions of their relationship are symmetric or not is an essential indicator to make choice between the subjective and objective measuring of the social relationships. Therefore, our work tries to investigate the evidences about subjective asymmetry of social interrelationships.

In related works, to predicate the properties of the interrelationship, one approach is based on the structure of the social network. The structure is a typical objective feature that cannot indicate the subjective opinion directly and accurately. Linguistic sentiment analysis suggests another approach: one can combine the structural and textual features to predict the sign of the interrelationship. West et al. [4] developed a model that synthesizes textual and social-network information to jointly predict the polarity of person-to-person evaluations. However, we focus on the bidirectional evaluation of the interrelationship. For two individuals, their mutual evaluation of each other is not always equivalent to their evaluation of their interrelationship.

2. CHARACTERIZE SUBJECTIVE OPINIONS ON INTERRELATIONSHIP

2.1 Sociolinguistics & Language Features
How can we investigate the individuals’ subjective opinions of their interrelationship? In sociolinguistics, the theory of communicative action [1] proposes to reconstruct the concept of relationship with the communicative act, rather than the objectivistic terms. In addition, the linguistic structures of communication can be used to establish a normative understanding of the social relationships. Sapir-Whorf hypothesis [3] also states that the semantic structure of the language use shapes the ways in which a speaker forms conceptions of the world including the social relationships. These theories inspire us to make the assumption that one’s opinion on an interrelationship can impact his choice of language style in communication, and thus we can investigate the subjective asymmetry of the social relationship with the interactive language on it.

The next problem is how to describe an individual’s interactive language style. In sociolinguistics, Holmes [2] introduced four important dimensions to study the language use in the social communication:

(1) The solidarity-social distance scale: the solidarity of the individuals’ relationship in social communication.

(2) The social status scale: the relative status of the individuals’ relationship in social communication.

(3) The formality scale: the formality of language use in different relationships, topics and places.

(4) The referential and affective scale: the referential and affective function of the language in social communication.

Among these dimensions, (3) & (4) are concerned with the features of interactive languages related to social interrelationship. Inspired by Holmes’ theory, we propose four simple features of the interactive language to characterize the individuals’ subjective opinion on the interrelationship, including the frequency, length, fluency and sentiment polarity which indicate the quantity, quality and emotion of the interactive language, respectively. Among them, frequency and length are primary quantity features of the language communication, while fluency and sentiment correspond to the formality and affective scale mentioned in Holmes’ theory. It’s noted that all these four features can be measured using primary natural language processing technologies.
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2.2 Personal Language Habit

Same words often have different meanings when used by persons with different language habit. For an individual I, to characterize I’s opinion more accurately and avoid the interference from I’s personal language habit, we normalize I’s each language feature f with I’s personal language habit value\( H_f(I) \). \( H_f(I) \) is measured by Formula (1), where \( f(I, I') \) is the f’s value of the languages said by I to another individual \( I' \), and \( C \) is the set of all individuals who communicate with I.

\[
H_f(I) = \frac{1}{|C|} \sum_{I \in C} f(I, I')
\]

Then \( f(I, I') \) is the normalized value of \( f(I, I') \) according to I’s personal language habit, which can be calculated with Formula (2):

\[
f'(I, I') = \frac{f(I, I') - H_f(I)}{H_f(I)}
\]

3. EXPERIMENTS

We utilize the Enron email dataset, which contains 0.5M mails among employees. We retained only those interrelationships where at least 15 emails were sent in each direction. The filtered set contains 1078 interrelationships between 647 individuals.

For each directed pair of individuals \( <I, I'> \), we calculated four linguistic features with the emails’ content sent from \( I \) to \( I' \), to characterize \( I \)'s opinion on his interrelationship with \( I' \):

1. “Frequency”: average emails count per day from \( I \) to \( I' \).
2. “Length”: average words count per email from \( I \) to \( I' \).
3. “Quality”: average perplexity score per sentence in the emails from \( I \) to \( I' \). Higher perplexity score means lower language quality. The perplexity score is calculated by the SRI language modeling toolkit.
4. “Sentiment”: average sentiment score per word in the emails from \( I \) to \( I' \). X-Similarity sentiment dictionary is used to score the positive, negative and neuter words as 1, -1 and 0, respectively.

Firstly, for 1078 pairs of individuals, we calculated the paired T-statistic score between their pair-wise language feature values of exchange emails content. In Table 1, the 1st, 2nd & 3rd column show the results of original pair-wise language features, the pair-wise personal language habit (Formula (1)) and the normalized pair-wise language features (Formula (2)), respectively. For each column, the t value and the ration between the average pair-wise difference and average value of each feature are given.

Table 1. Pair-wise language features differences.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>t value / (average difference)/(average value)</th>
<th>Original Habit Normalized(Oppinion)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>13/0.6487 / 17/0.6316</td>
<td>22/0.8823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>12/0.7636 / 16/0.7039</td>
<td>25/0.8059</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>12/0.5311 / 12/0.5006</td>
<td>24/0.8323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentiment</td>
<td>23/0.4545 / 23/0.4141</td>
<td>23/0.7931</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 1, in each column, the pair-wise differences of each language feature is significant (>95%). This significance is also verified by the remarkable ration degree between the average pair-wise difference and average value of each feature. These experimental results indicate that on this dataset, the pair-wise interactive language features are significantly asymmetric (column 1). This asymmetry is a joint effect of the asymmetry of individuals’ subjective opinions (column 3) and the asymmetry of their personal language habits (column 2). Besides, the opinions’ asymmetry is more significant than that of the habits.

Secondly, for each individual, we also calculate the Pearson correlation score between his language styles and those of his counterparts. Higher correlation indicates that one tends to adapt his language use to different conversation partners, and thus reduce the asymmetry degree. In Table 2, for each feature, we calculate the average correlation scores of the individuals who have the top10 average/deviation feature values, respectively. It can be observed that on each feature, the individuals with top10 average/deviation of the language feature values obtain better correlation scores than those having the last10 average/deviation. We suppose that higher average score on sentiment and quality indicate more positive personality, and higher deviation score on all features tend to indicate more flexible personality. With this hypothesis, results in Table 2 indicate that the individuals who have more positive and flexible personality (Top 10 individuals) tend to adapt to the counterparts’ style in the communication (higher correlation) and thus lead to less asymmetry of the subjective opinions on the interrelationship. This experimental result inspires us to predicate the asymmetry of the subjective asymmetry with the help of individuals’ personality characters.

Table 2. Pair-wise language feature correlations for different personality (Avg indicates Positive & Dev indicates Flexible).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Feature</th>
<th>Top 10 on Avg.</th>
<th>Last 10 on Dev.</th>
<th>Top 10 on Dev.</th>
<th>Last 10 on Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Frequency</td>
<td>.5621</td>
<td>.0431</td>
<td>.6112</td>
<td>.4452</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length</td>
<td>1037</td>
<td>-0.538</td>
<td>.0015</td>
<td>-0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality</td>
<td>1239</td>
<td>.0606</td>
<td>.2477</td>
<td>.0709</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sentiment</td>
<td>0588</td>
<td>-0.0205</td>
<td>.1037</td>
<td>-0.0227</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE WORK

Our experimental investigation makes some attempts to provide suggestive evidences for the subjective asymmetry of interrelationships, and potentially leads to a promising direction to model the social relationship asymmetrically from the pair-wise subjective opinions with interactive languages. To obtain more convincing result, we need to investigate more diversified datasets from social media. And we will also try to build asymmetric model of interrelationships integrating subjective language features and objective features.
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